

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 IO GROUP, INC,

No. C 10-03851 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

10 v.

11 DOES 1-19,


12 Defendant.
13 _____/

14 Pursuant to this Court's March 11, 2011 Case Management Conference Order, plaintiff was
15 given until March 18, 2011 to serve Doe 4 with the complaint in this case. *See* Docket No. 39. On
16 March 21, 2011, counsel representing Doe 4 filed a request for dismissal based on the fact that Doe 4
17 has not been served. As of this date, plaintiff has not responded to the request for dismissal or otherwise
18 shown that it has served Doe 4 with the complaint in this action.

19 As such, the Court hereby DISMISSES this case without prejudice.
20
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
23

24 Dated: March 25, 2011
25
26
27
28



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge